

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13D

INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN STATEMENTS FILED PURSUANT
TO RULE 13d-1(a) AND AMENDMENTS THERETO FILED PURSUANT TO
RULE 13d-2(a)

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)

QEP Resources, Inc.
(Name of Issuer)

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share
(Title of Class of Securities)

74733V100
(CUSIP Number)

Marc Weingarten, Esq.
Eleazer Klein, Esq.
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 756-2000
(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person
Authorized to Receive Notices and Communications)

October 11, 2013
(Date of Event which Requires
Filing of this Schedule)

If the filing person has previously filed a statement on Schedule 13G to report the acquisition that is the subject of this Schedule 13D, and is filing this schedule because of Rule 13d-1(e), 13d-1(f) or 13d-1(g), check the following box. []

NOTE: Schedules filed in paper format shall include a signed original and five copies of the schedule, including all exhibits. See Rule 13d-7 for other parties to whom copies are to be sent.

(Continued on following pages)

(Page 1 of 5 Pages)

The information required on the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

1	NAME OF REPORTING PERSON JANA PARTNERS LLC	
2	CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX IF A MEMBER OF A GROUP (a) <input type="checkbox"/> (b) <input type="checkbox"/>	
3	SEC USE ONLY	
4	SOURCE OF FUNDS AF	
5	CHECK BOX IF DISCLOSURE OF LEGAL PROCEEDING IS REQUIRED PURSUANT TO ITEMS 2(d) or 2(e) <input type="checkbox"/>	
6	CITIZENSHIP OR PLACE OF ORGANIZATION Delaware	
NUMBER OF SHARES BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH REPORTING PERSON WITH	7	SOLE VOTING POWER 13,500,000 (including options to purchase 1,951,700 shares of Common Stock)
	8	SHARED VOTING POWER 0
	9	SOLE DISPOSITIVE POWER 13,500,000 (including options to purchase 1,951,700 shares of Common Stock)
	10	SHARED DISPOSITIVE POWER 0
11	AGGREGATE AMOUNT BENEFICIALLY OWNED BY EACH PERSON 13,500,000 (including options to purchase 1,951,700 shares of Common Stock)	
12	CHECK IF THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT IN ROW (11) EXCLUDES CERTAIN SHARES <input type="checkbox"/>	
13	PERCENT OF CLASS REPRESENTED BY AMOUNT IN ROW (11) (see Item 5) 7.5%	
14	TYPE OF REPORTING PERSON IA	

Item 1. SECURITY AND ISSUER

This statement on Schedule 13D relates to the shares ("Shares") of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of QEP Resources, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Issuer"). The principal executive office of the Issuer is located at 1050 17th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80265.

Item 2. IDENTITY AND BACKGROUND.

(a) This statement is filed by JANA Partners LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the "Reporting Person"). The Reporting Person is a private money management firm which holds Shares of the Issuer in various accounts under its management and control. The principal owner of the Reporting Person is Barry Rosenstein ("Mr. Rosenstein" or the "Principal").

(b) The principal business address of the Reporting Person and the Principal is 767 Fifth Avenue, 8th Floor, New York, New York 10153.

(c) The principal business of the Reporting Person and the Principal is investing for accounts under their management.

(d) Neither the Reporting Person nor the Principal has, during the last five years, been convicted in a criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations or similar misdemeanors).

(e) Neither the Reporting Person nor the Principal has, during the last five years, been a party to a civil proceeding of a judicial or administrative body of competent jurisdiction and as a result of such proceeding was or is subject to a judgment, decree or final order enjoining future violations of, or prohibiting or mandating activities subject to, federal or state securities laws or finding any violation with respect to such laws.

(f) The Reporting Person is a limited liability company organized in Delaware. The Principal is a citizen of the United States of America.

Item 3. SOURCE AND AMOUNT OF FUNDS OR OTHER CONSIDERATION.

The 13,500,000 Shares (including options to purchase 1,951,700 Shares) reported herein by the Reporting Person were acquired at an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$345.6 million. Such Shares were acquired with investment funds in accounts managed by the Reporting Person.

Item 4. PURPOSE OF TRANSACTION.

The Reporting Person acquired the Shares because it believes the Shares are undervalued and represent an attractive investment opportunity. The Reporting Person first invested in the Shares over a year ago and has had discussions with the Issuer's management about maximizing shareholder value. The Reporting Person intends to have further discussions with the Issuer's board and management regarding: adding directors and executives with proven experience in midstream operations to develop a growth strategy for the Issuer's midstream business (QEPFS) and better aligning management incentive compensation with the success of the midstream business; separating QEPFS from QEP; and pursuing a significant return of capital to shareholders. On October 21, 2013 the Reporting Person sent a letter to the Issuer in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B. The Reporting Person may also seek to discuss other topics including other strategic alternatives available to the Issuer, the Issuer's strategy and the Issuer's future plans. The Reporting Person expects to have discussions with the Issuer's management and board of directors, shareholders and other parties relating to such

matters, and may take other steps seeking to bring about changes to increase shareholder value as well as pursue other plans or proposals that relate to or would result in any of the matters set forth in subparagraphs (a)-(j) of Item 4 of Schedule 13D.

Except as set forth herein or as would occur upon completion of any of the actions discussed herein, including in any Exhibits hereto, the Reporting Person has no present plan or proposal that would relate to or result in any of the matters set forth in subparagraphs (a)-(j) of Item 4 of Schedule 13D. Depending on various factors including, without limitation, the Issuer's financial position and strategic direction, the outcome of the discussions and actions referenced above, actions taken by the board of directors, price levels of the Shares, other investment opportunities available to the Reporting Person, conditions in the securities market and general economic and industry conditions, the Reporting Person may in the future take such actions with respect to its investment in the Issuer as it deems appropriate including, without limitation, purchasing additional Shares or selling some or all of its Shares, engaging in short selling of or any hedging or similar transactions with respect to the Shares and/or otherwise changing its intention with respect to any and all matters referred in Item 4 of Schedule 13D.

Item 5. INTEREST IN SECURITIES OF THE COMPANY.

(a) The aggregate percentage of Shares reported to be beneficially owned by the Reporting Person is based upon 179,289,624 Shares outstanding, which is the total number of Shares outstanding as of June 30, 2013, as reported in the Issuer's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on July 31, 2013.

At the close of business on October 21, 2013, the Reporting Person may be deemed to beneficially own 13,500,000 Shares (which includes options to purchase 1,951,700 Shares), constituting approximately 7.5% of the Shares outstanding.

(b) The Reporting Person has sole voting and dispositive powers over 13,500,000 Shares (which includes options to purchase 1,951,700 Shares), which powers are exercised by the Principal.

(c) Information concerning transactions in the Shares effected by the Reporting Person during the past sixty days is set forth in Exhibit A hereto and is incorporated herein by reference. All of the transactions in Shares listed hereto were effected in the open market through various brokerage entities.

(d) No person (other than the Reporting Person) is known to have the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the Shares.

(e) Not applicable.

Item 6. CONTRACTS, ARRANGEMENTS, UNDERSTANDINGS OR RELATIONSHIPS WITH RESPECT TO SECURITIES OF THE ISSUER.

The Reporting Person beneficially owns 19,517 call options with a strike price of \$24.00 which expire on November 22, 2013, for a total of 1,951,700 Shares.

Except as otherwise set forth herein, the Reporting Person does not have any contract, arrangement, understanding or relationship with any person with respect to the securities of the Issuer.

Item 7. MATERIAL TO BE FILED AS EXHIBITS.

Exhibit A: Transactions in the Shares During the Last 60 Days.

Exhibit B: Letter dated October 21, 2013 sent by the Reporting Person to the Issuer.

SIGNATURES

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Dated: October 21, 2013

JANA PARTNERS LLC

By: /s/ Jennifer Fanjiang
Name: Jennifer Fanjiang
Title: General Counsel

Transactions in the Issuer During the Last 60 Days

The following table sets forth all transactions in the Shares effected in the past sixty days by the Reporting Person. All such transactions were effected in the open market through brokers and the price per share is net of commissions.

SHARES

Trade Date	Price Per Share (\$)	Shares Purchased (Sold)
8/28/2013	27.68	8,750
9/4/2013	27.83	3,051
9/4/2013	27.82	(3,051)
9/5/2013	28.04	(29,800)
9/10/2013	28.38	2,300
9/11/2013	28.07	34,325
9/11/2013	28.22	(1,328)
9/13/2013	28.16	109,000
9/18/2013	28.43	32,730
9/18/2013	28.77	1,613
9/18/2013	28.43	(32,730)
9/25/2013	28.25	(4,961)
10/1/2013	28.14	(7,770)
10/8/2013	28.02	54,200
10/8/2013	27.96	10,940
10/8/2013	28.12	33,300
10/9/2013	28.20	127,807
10/9/2013	28.27	236,955
10/9/2013	28.26	831,599

10/9/2013	28.23	(152,846)
10/9/2013	28.15	(959)
10/10/2013	28.90	68,690
10/10/2013	28.83	50,101
10/10/2013	28.93	50,000
10/11/2013	29.03	7,400
10/11/2013	29.25	17,009
10/11/2013	29.32	10,000
10/11/2013	29.24	24,300
10/11/2013	29.44	41,000
10/14/2013	29.38	49,027
10/14/2013	29.48	56,400
10/14/2013	29.52	12,300
10/14/2013	29.50	50,000
10/15/2013	29.79	200,000
10/16/2013	30.00	2,800
10/16/2013	30.27	32,796
10/16/2013	30.35	70,000
10/17/2013	30.83	163,079
10/17/2013	30.79	45,000
10/18/2013	31.46	200,000
10/18/2013	31.14	136,000
10/18/2013	31.20	100,002
10/11/2013	4.95	9,000
10/15/2013	5.92	5,000
10/18/2013	6.91	4,000
10/21/2013	31.17	39,642
10/21/2013	31.18	5,574
10/21/2013	31.09	203,084



October 21, 2013

Board of Directors (the "Board")
QEP Resources, Inc.
1050 17th Street, Suite 500
Denver, Colorado 80265
Attention: Charles B. Stanley, CEO

Ladies & Gentlemen,

JANA Partners LLC ("we" or "us") beneficially owns 7.5% of the outstanding shares of QEP Resources, Inc. ("QEP" or the "Company"). We first invested in QEP over a year ago, and since then we have attempted to work constructively to help refine the Company's strategic direction and to address the Company's persistent share price underperformance, which has been driven primarily by its inability to unlock the unrealized value of its midstream business ("QEPFS"). Our engagement began following QEP's Helis acquisition, when management stressed the supposed need to deleverage in the wake of this acquisition and suggested that QEPFS could be a source of cash through a partial sale to a sponsor. We argued in response that deleveraging was not the highest corporate priority and that QEPFS should be managed for long term value maximization, rather than near term cash harvesting, and that an IPO of an MLP was the preferred route. We also stressed that to maximize the value of the general partner of the MLP, QEP would need to pursue a growth strategy for QEPFS consisting of organic project development, bolt-on M&A around existing operations, and the willingness and ability to pursue larger scale M&A opportunities that are financially and strategically accretive through scale economies and geographic diversification.

While QEP did form an MLP for its midstream business ("QEPMLP"), the Board of Directors (the "Board") and management since then have done almost none of the things necessary to actually deliver on the value creation potential of the midstream business, and even worse, have spurned our numerous attempts to help them do so. Our efforts have included: (1) warning QEP in advance of the IPO that, without a proven management team incentivized to grow the general partner, the MLP would be perceived as nothing more than a financing vehicle for QEP and would thus fail to maximize shareholder value, (2) introducing QEP to potential Board members and operators with proven histories of successfully building midstream MLPs, and (3) introducing QEP to the management of a well-positioned high growth midstream general partnership, which had expressed interest in a strategic transaction with QEPFS. Such a transaction would have resulted in immediate benefits to QEP shareholders that, by management's own admission to us, were greater than those to be achieved by QEP's present course, because QEP shareholders would have had an interest in a publicly traded midstream general partnership with a properly incentivized management team and much greater scale and diversity of assets.

QEP management chose not to pursue any of these opportunities and offered no convincing reasons for its refusal to do so. Amazingly, just this morning, Devon Energy Corporation announced a transaction exactly like the one we proposed to QEP earlier this year to combine its midstream business with Crosstex Energy, Inc. The market's immediate reaction to the announcement of this transaction has been the unlocking of almost \$1 billion of new value for Devon shareholders. Looked at from the perspective of Crosstex, the approximately 50% surge in its share price as of this morning shows the enormous shareholder value creation potential of a dedicated C Corp general partner vehicle.

As a result of QEP's failure to pursue such value creation opportunities, its share price has dramatically underperformed. Since July 1, 2010 when QEP spun-off from Questar Corporation through last Friday's close, the Company's shares have returned just 7.4% (which includes a 6.0% increase in the last week, driven in part by our most recent purchases), compared to more than 69.1% on average for the peer companies named in QEP's 10-K and 81.5% for the S&P 500 Energy Index. Since announcing the Helis acquisition on August 22, 2012, QEP's stock price has underperformed its peers by 23% and its EBITDA multiple discount to a peer weighted index (80% E&P, 20% Midstream) widened from 2.1x turns of EBITDA to 3.2x turns. At a meeting with us in March, management confidently predicted that its current course would result in a \$39 stock price; instead QEP's shares have continued to languish in the high \$20s and low \$30s.

The good news is that QEP's share price underperformance is easily remediable, and in fact, the discount to QEP's intrinsic value can be used by management to benefit QEP's shareholders. What follows is an immediately actionable plan to put QEP on a path to long term sustainable shareholder value creation.

1. Add Board Members and Management with Proven Midstream Value Creation Track Records and Align Midstream Management Incentives with Midstream Success. Prior to the recent addition of Julie A. Dill, none of QEP's board members had a career history in midstream operations or a proven track record of building shareholder value in the midstream industry. While the addition of Ms. Dill to the Board is certainly a step in the right direction, we find it troubling that the one midstream executive QEP has chosen to invite on the Board comes from an MLP that has long been considered underutilized by its sponsor, and which sponsor in fact only moved in the direction of maximizing the general partner's potential when confronted by a shareholder activist. The lack of midstream and MLP experience at the Board has left QEP without a promising midstream growth strategy.

The management of QEP is equally lacking in proven midstream value creation credentials, and in any event is not specifically incentivized to maximize the value of the midstream business. According to the Company's proxy statement, oil production and NGL production goals are specifically targeted under the annual incentive program, but there is no mention of any key performance indicators that are tied directly to the midstream operations. This is the case not only for QEP's CEO and the CFO, but for the Senior Vice President in charge of QEPFS.

It is not surprising then that QEPFS has missed out on organic growth opportunities like those that have been successfully executed by venture backed start-ups across the MLP landscape and even right under QEP's nose by companies like Arrow Midstream and Saddle Butte, which have built large midstream businesses in and around QEP's E&P acreage in the Bakken; or that QEP has passed on M&A opportunities such as the one we presented earlier this year and the recent acquisition of Arrow Midstream by Crestwood Midstream Partners, which would have been highly accretive for the general partner of QEP based on Crestwood's publicly stated target for Arrow's FY14 EBITDA; or that QEP has consistently run the midstream business as a source of cash for E&P, rather than as an entrepreneurial growth vehicle. Capital expenditures for QEPFS are equal to only approximately 40% of QEPFS EBITDA, while growing midstream peers with general partnership ownership of the underlying MLP typically have project backlogs that enable them to deploy capital expenditures well in excess of EBITDA, thus driving a multiyear asset growth plan and investor recognition of significant out-year growth in general partner cash flows.

We believe that with proper board oversight, the right operational experience, and appropriately aligned management incentives, QEPFS can follow the proven model for shareholder value creation that so many other midstream general partners have pursued.

2. Separate all of QEPFS from QEP to Unlock its True Value. In parallel with a search for a proven midstream management team and Board members with a history of success in midstream general partner value creation, QEP should commence a separation of QEPFS in its entirety in a tax-free transaction, including all of its assets and its interests in QEP units and QEP's general partner. Such a transaction would immediately unlock the value of the midstream business for QEP shareholders and would enable QEP to attract the best possible midstream management team by isolating the opportunity to participate in the substantial value creation to be generated by growing the QEP general partner. A standalone QEPFS C Corporation with a best in class management team and board will be positioned to pursue all avenues of value creation to drive growth for the general partner.

All of the reasons that QEP management has offered for maintaining its integrated structure are easily refuted by the evidence and by QEP's share price, which reflects none of the supposed benefits of integration. While management publicly insists that control of the midstream operations is essential to its upstream success, we see no discernible difference in QEP's performance in the Pinedale as compared to that of Ultra Petroleum, which controls no midstream operations. Nor is that logic supported by QEP's entry into the Bakken, where the Company does not have a midstream base of operations and yet that lack of midstream presence has never been mentioned as a roadblock to success. Management also suggests that E&P drill bit activity will generate a large project backlog for QEPFS and QEP. However, E&P's biggest capital spending area, the Bakken, has translated into a minimal amount of midstream capital projects, which have instead been won by pure play midstream competitors Crestwood, Targa, and Oneok, none of which has a sponsor E&P parent.

In fact, all of the evidence in the marketplace unequivocally supports the opposite conclusion, which is that separation and specialization, not integration, are the keys to unlocking shareholder value. None of the most highly-valued exploration and production independents rely on a captive midstream operation to drive their performance. Likewise, with limited possible exceptions, no general partner of midstream operations claims that its success depends upon an affiliation with sister upstream operations. In light of these facts, it was not surprising that in our most recent meeting with management QEP's CFO conceded that control of the midstream assets is not essential to the long term health and success of QEP.

In short, we believe that the Board and management have mistakenly approached the question of the proper ownership structure for the midstream business by asking how can value be maximized within the context of the current integrated structure and running QEPFS for free cash to reinvest into E&P, rather than by asking how overall QEP shareholder value can be maximized and then adjusting QEP's corporate structure accordingly.

3. *Pursue a Significant Return of Capital to Shareholders.* QEP has a substantial opportunity to maximize the value creation impact of the steps outlined above by immediately committing to a large dropdown of midstream assets into QEPM for cash and using the proceeds for a substantial repurchase of undervalued QEP shares prior to a separation of QEPFS. At the same time, QEP should consummate the long discussed sales of its non-core assets in the Granite Wash and Woodford “Cana” and possibly the Haynesville as well. Such asset sales would provide further cash to direct toward share repurchases and would signal a commitment by management to a focused E&P program in two profitable, scale basins, the Rockies and the Bakken, and would also serve to improve QEP’s reserve and production balance away from natural gas and toward oil. We believe shareholders would be delighted to see QEP repurchase both its general partnership interest and its core holdings in the Pinedale and the Bakken at a steep discount to their intrinsic worth.

We have waited patiently for QEP to adopt a way forward that will maximize its potential and drive long term share price appreciation. Management has ignored our advice for such a plan and then expressed puzzlement to us that the QEP share price is quoted at such a deep discount to intrinsic value. We believe the steps we have outlined can unlock approximately \$2 billion of new value for QEP shareholders, assuming: (i) an equity shrink with drop down proceeds is consummated at a premium to today’s stock price, (ii) a properly managed stand-alone QEPFS trades in-line with other C Corp midstream peers, and (iii) the remaining E&P assets are valued in line with their peers, which we believe will be warranted once QEP shareholders see a commitment to return excess capital and to an E&P program focused on the Rockies and the Bakken. No other course of action available to the Company, with the possible exception of a sale of all of QEP to a strategic acquirer, could possibly unlock anywhere near this much value. We encourage the Board to immediately seize these value creation opportunities, as we believe most shareholders would be highly supportive of changes to the Board should it fail to do so.

We attempted to contact QEP senior management last week to discuss these matters to see if any common ground could be reached without the need for a public dialogue. We are still awaiting a response. You may reach us at (212) 455-0900.

Sincerely,

/s/ Barry Rosenstein

Barry Rosenstein
Managing Partner
JANA Partners LLC